Reporting Quarter

Q4 - March 2012

	Are we on track to deliver the expected results by end of 2011/12					
	Current qtr		Last qtr	Comments		
	RAG		RAG			
Priority Results (current assessment o	f whether anticipated results for 201	1/12 will	be achieved)			
Priority 1	Amber		Amber			
Priority 2 Priority 3 Invesment/IIP	Green		Amber			
Priority 3 Invesment/IIP	Green/Amber		Green/Amber			
Priority 4	Green		Green			
Partner delivery performance IIP Performance/Composite position SSC 11/12 Performance						
IIP Performance/Composite position	Amber		Amber			
SSC 11/12 Performance	Green		Amber			
Executive Objective Results (current a	Executive Objective Results (current assessment of whether anticipated results for 2011/12 will be achieved)					
EO1	Green		Green			
EO2 - UKCES/SSC Transition	Green		Green			
EO3	Green		Green			
EO4	Green		Amber			

RAG Status			
Green	Delivered in line with expectations/anticipated results and impact achieved		
Amber	Delivery not quite completed in line with expectations /anticipated results and impacts short of expectations		
Red	Delivery not complete/anticpated results and impacts not achieved		

	How are our external relationships?			
			Current qtr	Last qtr
	Delivery		Green	Green
	Impact		Amber	Amber
		To strengthen	Ongoing	Keep informed
	Employer/			
ï۲	Professional/	CBI, BITC, IIP-DCs, IoD , BCC,		
ive	Workforce	CIPD, SSC Boards	TUC, CMI	
Delivery	Co-sponsors	HMT, DWP	BIS, DFE, Scotland, Wales, NI	
	E & S system	HE	SSCs, SFA, NAS, AOs	Colleges/FE
	No substantial change since Qtr3 - RAG status indications are based on anecdotal evidence from			
	Directors/Deputy Directors. Green ratings indicate satisfactory progress, Amber ratings indicate			
	we need to invest more time and effort into these relationships.			

Will we produce the deliverables we expected to in the business plan?						
	Current	Current qtr		Last qtr		
	RAG Status	Escalated Risks?	RAG Status	Escalated Risks?		
Current assessment of number of projects within priorities/EOs will be delivered in line with business plan						
Priority 1	5 Green, 1 Amber		4 Green, 2 Amber			
Priority 2	5 Green		3 Green, 2 Amber	Υ		
Priority 3 Investment/IIP	6 Green, 1 Amber		5 Green, 2 Amber			
Priority 4	3 Green		3 Green			
EO 1	5Green		5 Green			
EO 2 - UKCES/SSC Transition	3 Green		3 Green			
EO 3	1 Green		1 Amber			
EO 4	3 Green		3 Green			

Organisational Resources - Commissioners and Staff				
	Current qtr	Last qtr	FY A	ctual
Commissioners				
Commissioner satisfaction index (% satisfied)	% n/a	% n/a		
Staff				
Staff satisfaction index (% satisfied)				
FTEs in post at end of quarter	97.2	99.7		
Capacity and Capability RAG Status	3 Amber	3 Amber		
Absense Rate (days/Employees rolling 12 mths)	6	7.69		
Staff actual time allocation across priorities in quarter (% & £000s):				
Priority 1	17%	11%	£1,424	17%
Priority 2	12%	9%	£982	12%
Priority 3	29%	33%	£2,345	29%
Priority 4	6%	3%	£456	6%
EO 1 - 4	7%	10%	£562	7%
Management	11%	11%	£1,107	11%
Core Services	14%	17%	£934	14%
Other	4%	6%	£326	4%

Organisational Resources - Budgets/Risks					
	Current qtr	Last qtr	Current qtr		
Forecast outturn and variance to budget	Variance to	Variance to	FY Actuals		
(£000's)	budget	budget	1171000015		
High level budget categories	£m	£m	£m		
Income	0.02 favourable	-0.01 adverse	0.52		
Running costs	1.15 underspend	1.03 underspend	7.86		
UKCES Programme	0.80 underspend	0.07 underspend	4.58		
Sector Programme	0.40 underspend	0.31 underspend	51.03		
Organisational Risks and Controls					
No. of risks outside of appetite	9	9			
No. of Organisational strategic risks > A/G	11	11			
No. of Int/Ext Audit Actions overdue	1 Medium, 2 Low	0			